

WIPO

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

				·	
Applicant's or agent	t's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International applica PCT/IN 02/0021		International filing date (day/m 16.10.2002	onth/year)	Priority date (day/monthlyear) 16.10.2002	
International Paten A01N63/04	t Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classification and IP	C	•	
Applicant NATIONAL RE	SEARCH DEVELOR	PMENT CORPORATION			
This Intern Authority a	ational preliminary exa and is transmitted to the	mination report has been pre applicant according to Artic	epared by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examir	ning
2. This REPO	ORT consists of a total	of 4 sheets, including this co	over sheet.	. •	
beer (see		anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheet basis for this report and/or s in 607 of the Administrative li of sheets.	neers comanuna i	ectificationic made sereic	vhich have is Authority
3. This repo	rt contains indications Basis of the opinion	relating to the following items	:		
	Priority	f opinion with regard to nove	Ity inventive step	and industrial applicability	
	Lack of unity of inve		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
v 🗵	Decembed statemen	t under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with r ations supporting such stater	egard to novelty, i nent	nventive step or industrial ap	oplicability;
VI 🗆	Certain documents	cited		+ +\$	
VII 🗆	Certain defects in th	e international application		•	
VIII 🗆	Certain observation	s on the international applica	tion	• • •	ı
Date of submiss	ion of the demand	D	ate of completion of	this report	
11.05.2004		2	27.01.2005		
preliminary exa	ng address of the interna mining authority:	ional	Authorized Officer		i de la companya de l
	European Patent Office 0-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5	23656 enmu d	Faizi, R		
	ax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	1	Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-8603	Agents satisfy,

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IN 02/00210

i.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-8		as published				
	Clai	ms, Numbers					
	Ciai	ms, Mullibers					
	1-13		as published				
2.	With lang	n regard to the langua guage in which the inte	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the mational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a trai	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a train Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under sol).				
3	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: 						
		\square contained in the international application in written form.					
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
			atly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing of in the international application as filed has been furnished.			he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that t	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence				
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
i	5. 🏻	been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement s report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
	6. A	dditional observations.	if necessary:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IN 02/00210

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-13

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-13

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

V: Reasoned Statements:

Claims 1 to 13 define a process for producing a phytotoxin from a culture of Alternaria. alternata f. sp. lantanae (ITCC-4896) for herbicidal use.

Although the strain as described in the Demand would appear to be novel, the features on which the claims are based are trivial. Such procedural steps are employed in the art to obtain fungal cultures of all fungi and are not specific for the present Demand. Hence, culturing a fungus in a liquid broth and separating phytotoxins therefrom as defined by claim 1 is not found to be based on an inventive step. similarly the embodiments of claims 2 to 6 are also trivial features.

The procedural steps as described by claims 7 to 13 could, however, be found to be based on an inventive step as they define the specific embodiments of the present Demand. They should be grouped together to define the claimed product which are the phytotoxins found in the oily and solvent layers of the cell free filtrate. The phytotoxins should either be named according to the designation in the Table at page 7, lines 20 onwards or described by other parameters in order to distinguish them from phytotoxic toxins from A. alternata already known from the prior-art. .

The requirements of Article 33 (3) PCT are thus not satisfied. The applicant is invited to file new claims.

Further, objection arises as to the content of the Demand and the wording of the claims.

Firstly, the claims are vague and worded very broadly so that their scope is obscure. Secondly, the description and claims need revision for clarity reasons as in certain sentences words are missing so that their meaning is not clear, see line 13, page 1 or line 18, page 2.

There are also many typing errors, especially in the claims, see claim 1, lines 2 and 3. The compounds or active components which were extracted and tested should be defined clearly in the claims.